# **Evolving appropriate zinc fertilization strategy for rice-rice (***Oryza sativa***) cropping system in Cauvery Delta Zone**

## **C Sharmila Rahale**

*Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India Corresponding author e-mail: sharmicj@yahoo.com*

Received : 8 October 2018 Accepted: 26 September 2019 Published : 30 September 2019

## **ABSTRACT**

*Six field experiments were conducted at Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Aduthurai to evolve suitable zinc fertilization method for rice - rice (Oryza sativa L.) cropping system in Cauvery delta zone. The treatment includes: T<sup>1</sup> : Control, T<sup>2</sup> : 100 g zinc sulphate (ZnSO4)/cent in nursery alone., T<sup>3</sup> : root dipping alone in 2 % zinc* oxide (ZnO) solution,  $T_{_4}$ : 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $T_{_5}$ : 37.5 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $T_{_6}$ : 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Farm Yard Manure *(FYM) 12.5 t ha-1, T<sup>7</sup> : 25 kg ZnSO<sup>4</sup> ha-1 + Green Leaf Manure (GLM) 6.5 t ha-1, T<sup>8</sup> : Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Micro Nutrient (TNAU MN) mixture 25 kg ha-1 as Enriched Farm Yard Manure (EFYM), T<sup>9</sup> : TNAU MN mixture 37.5 kg ha-1 as EFYM, T<sup>10</sup> : Foliar spray of 0.5% ZnSO<sup>4</sup> + 1 % urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage, T<sup>11</sup> : 100g ZnSO<sup>4</sup> /cent in nursery alone + Foliar spray of 0.5 % ZnSO<sup>4</sup> + 1 % urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (T2+ T10), T12 : root dipping alone in 2 % ZnO solution + Foliar spray of 0.5 % ZnSO<sup>4</sup> + 1 % urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (T3+ T10), T13: 100 g ZnSO<sup>4</sup> /cent in nursery alone + root dipping alone in 2 % ZnO solution + Foliar spray of 0.5 % ZnSO<sub>4</sub> + 1 % urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage (T<sub>2</sub> + T<sub>3</sub><br>+ T<sub>10</sub>). The treatments T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>9</sub> were skipped in rabi season to know the residual ef* ,  $T_{_\mathcal{O}}$   $T_{_\mathcal{I}}$  and  $T_{_\mathcal{Q}}$  were skipped in rabi season to know the residual effect of these treatments in the subsequent season. Among the treatment combinations, application of 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-</sup> *<sup>1</sup> recorded higher grain yield in both kharif (6232 kg ha-1) and rabi (6236 kg ha-1) seasons. The same treatment combination recorded higher Zn content and Zn uptake as well. Regarding soil nutrient content, the same treatment recorded higher N, P and K content. This treatment was followed by application of 25 kg ZnSO<sup>4</sup> ha-*  $1 +$  green leaf manure 6.5 t ha<sup> $1$ </sup>. The experimental findings suggested that combination of organic and inorganic *sources not only increased the yield but also improves soil health in Cauvery delta zone.*

*Key words: Cauvery Delta Zone, rice-rice system, soil health, yield, zinc*

## **INTRODUCTION**

Micronutrients play an important role to enhance the agricultural productivity. Micronutrient deficiencies are becoming serious because of escalated nutrient demand from more intensive and exploitative agriculture, coupled with use of single-nutrient fertilizers and low amounts of organic manures. Zinc (Zn) is essential for normal plant growth and development since it is constituents of many enzymes and other proteins (Hafeez et al., 2013). Zinc deficient soils are common in various climatic regions world-wide and Zn deficiency is one of the most important nutritional problems after macronutrients deficiency in crop production (Asadi et al., 2012). Zinc deficiency is prevalent worldwide in temperate and tropical climates. Forty seven per cent of Indian soils and fifty percent of Tamil Nadu soils are deficient in zinc (Arunachalam et al., 2013). In Cauvery Delta Zone (CDZ), next to N, the deficiency of zinc is occurring widely. Heavy textured soils of old delta are more deficient in Zn (80.4%) than the new delta soils (47.4%) (Hafeez et al., 2013). Zinc availability in soil depends on soil properties such as pH and redox potential, contents of  $CO_3^2$  and  $HCO_3$ , oxides of Fe and Al, and organic matter and inherent Zn status in the upper soil layer (Tuyogon et al., 2016). The problem

of low Zn availability to plants is exacerbated when rice is grown in submerged soils (Meng et al., 2014). Rice grown in flooded conditions has higher requirement of Zn because the availability of other nutrients in submerged condition increases which decreases Zn availability to crop. Zinc deficiency is a well known nutritional and health problem in human populations where rice is the dominating staple food crop (Firdous et al., 2018).

Changes in crop production technology often present opportunities to develop fertilization strategies that may reduce production costs associated with product application or materials, improve nutrient delivery to plants, or provide flexibility in the timing of crop inputs. Due to high cost of Zn and its unavailability at proper time not only reduces the yield of rice but also there is a lot of contradiction in recommendation of the method and time of Zn application in rice. Foliar application of nutrients has also become an efficient way to increase yield and quality of crops. In semiarid regions, foliar application of nutrients is a more suitable option compared with soil fertilization as it gives quick compensation of nutrient deficiency. Therefore, present study was undertaken to find out an appropriate Zn fertilization strategy for enhancing productivity of irrigated rice in Cauvery Delta Zone.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Field experiments were conducted during 2014-15 and ended up to 2017-18 at Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Aduthurai in *kharif* and *rabi* seasons. The experiments were conducted in randomized block design with three replications and thirteen treatment combinations (Table 1). The treatment includes:  $T_i$ : Control,  $T_2$ : 100 g Zinc Sulphate  $(ZnSO_4)$ /cent in nursery alone,  $T_3$ : Root dipping alone in 2 % Zinc oxide (ZnO) solution,  $T_4$ : 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub>: 37.5 (ZnO) solution,  $T_4$ : 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub>: 37.5 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub><br>ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub>: 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Farm Yard Manure (FYM)  $12.5t$  ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $T_7$ :  $25$  kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + Green Leaf Manure (GLM) 6.5t ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $T_{\rm g}$ : Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Micro Nutrient (TNAU MN) mixture 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as Enriched Farm Yard Manure (EFYM),  $T_{9}$ : TNAU MN mixture 37.5 kg ha<sub>-1</sub> as EFYM,  $T_{10}$ : foliar spray of 0.5%  $ZnSO_4 + 1%$  urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage,  $T_{11}$ : 100g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/cent in nursery alone + foliar spray of  $0.5\%$  ZnSO<sub>4</sub> + 1% urea at tillering and Panicle initiation stage  $(T_2+\dot{T}_{10})$ ,  $T_{12}$ : Root dipping alone in 2% ZnO solution + foliar spray of  $0.5\%$  ZnSO<sub>4</sub> + 1% urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage  $(T_3 + T_{10})$ ,  $T_{13}$ :  $100g$  ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/cent in nursery alone + root dipping alone





The common dose of NPK @ 150:50:50 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied for all the plots.  $ZnSO_4$  : zinc sulphate ;  $ZnO$  : zinc oxide ;  $FYM$ : farm yard manure ; GLM : green leaf manure ; TNAU MN mixture : Tamil Nadu Agricultural University micro nutrient mixture ; EFYM : enriched farm yard manure.

in 2 % ZnO solution + foliar spray of 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub> + 1<br>% urea at tillering and Panicle initiation stage  $(T_2 + T_3)$ % urea at tillering and Panicle initiation stage  $(T_2 + T_3$  Agil<br>+ T<sub>10</sub>). The treatments T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>9</sub> were skipped (1 g) in *rabi* season to know the residual effect of these treatments in the subsequent season. All the plots received the uniform recommended dose of 150:50:50  $NPK$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in both the seasons. Most popular rice varieties of Cauvery delta region *viz*., ADT 43 and ADT 45 for short duration (*kharif*) and ADT 38 and ADT 39 for medium duration (*rabi*) were used in these experiments. Need based plant protection measures were taken up against pest and diseases.

The soil of experimental site was clayey (Typic Haplustert), having pH 7.6, organic carbon 0.12%, available N 125 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, P 56 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and K 320 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup> and Diethylene Triamine Penta Acetic acid-Tri Ethanol Amine (DTPA-TEA) extractable Zn was 0.51 mg kg-  $\frac{1}{1}$  (low).

## **Chemical analysis of Zn in plant samples**

The crop was harvested at maturity and grain and straw yield were recorded after sun drying of varieties. The concentration of Zn in grain and straw was estimated

 + 1 using Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (AAS) (Varian-Agilent) by following standard procedures. One gram (1 g) of powdered oven dried plant material (60-70  $\textdegree$ C) was taken in 100 ml conical flask and 10 ml of di-acid mixture (10:4 of  $HNO_3$ -HClO<sub>4</sub>) was added in the flask and mixed by swirling. The flask was placed on hot plate in a digestion chamber. After cooling, it was transferred to a 50 ml volumetric flask composed of ultra pure water and then filtered. The contents were further evaporated until the volume was reduced to 3 to 5 ml but not to dryness. The completion of digestion was confirmed when the liquid become colorless. After cooling the flask, 20 ml of glass double distilled water was added. The volume was made up to 50 ml with glass double distilled water and filtered the solution using

> At the end of each year, representative post harvest soil samples (0-15 cm) were collected and analysed for pH and EC (1:2.5), organic carbon (Walkey and Black, 1943), available N (Jackson,1973), Bray P and 1 N NH<sub>4</sub>OAC extractable K (Page et al., 1982). Likewise, the plant samples (grain and straw) were collected and analysed for N (Humphries, 1956) P and

Plant No. of 1000 Plant No. of 1000

What man no. 41 filter paper.





S. No Treatments *Kharif Rabi*

The common dose of NPK @ 150:50:50 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied for all the plots.  $\text{ZnSO}_4$ : zinc : farm yard manure ; GLM : green leaf manure ; TNAU MN mixture : Tamil Nadu Agricultural University micro nutrient mixture ; EFYM : enriched farm yard manure.

K (Jackson, 1973) and their uptake values were worked out. In order to compare the effect of various treatments on grain and straw yield, soil fertility and nutrient uptake the data were pooled and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using standard statistical procedure for randomized block design.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## **Yield attributes**

The data pertaining to yield attributes as influenced by the treatments is presented in the Table 2. It was observed that higher yield attributes (plant height, number of panicles /m<sup>2</sup> and 100 grain weight) were  $\frac{mg}{g}$ recorded in  $T_6$  *i.e.*, application of recommended dose of NPK with  $25 \text{ kg ZnSO}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1} + \text{FYM} 12.5 \text{ t} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ followed by application of recommended dose of NPK with 25 kg  $ZnSO_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup>+ GLM 6.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in both the with seasons. The combined application of fertilizers and manures influence on yield attributes compared to single application of any one. This could be attributed to adequate supply of Zn through organic manures which accelerates the activity of enzyme and auxin metabolism in plants. Yield attributes were significantly influenced by the application of organic manure and chemical fertilizers reported by (Babu et al. 2001). Similar results were also reported by (Rajani Rani et al., 2001). Application of chemical fertilizer and FYM recorded taller plants at harvest as compared to other treatment combinations (Mohanty et al., 2013). Application of chemical fertilizer along with organic manure increased the 1000 grain weight (Yang et al., 2004). Application of Zn with FYM significantly increased the tillering and this could be attributed to the improved enzymatic activity and auxin metabolism in plants (Hung et al., 1990). It is also due to the fact that Zn had a positive effect on formation of stamens and pollen which increased the fertility of flowers (Banks, 2004). The increase in seed weight upon zinc fertilization could be attributed to enhanced zinc uptake and translocation of sugars and higher carbohydrate accumulation in seed. Similar results have been reported by (Anand, 2007).

### **Grain and straw yield**

The grain and straw yield of both the seasons are given in Table 3. The result showed that application of recommended dose of NPK with  $25 \text{ kg ZnSO}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$  + FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher grain (6232 and 6236)

kg ha-1 in *kharif* and *rabi*) yield in both the seasons. This was followed by application of recommended dose of NPK with  $25 \text{ kg ZnSO}_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup> + GLM 6.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in both the seasons. It indicated that that application of organic manure along with chemical fertilizers increased growth and yield of rice as compared to application of chemical fertilizers alone. It may be due to slow release of nutrients for a longer period after decomposition of FYM, which favored better plant growth and improved the yield components of rice. And also it may be due to the fact that the integrated use of fertilizers with organic manures *viz*., FYM and BGA might have added huge quantity of organic matter in soil that increased grain and straw yield (Chaudhary and Thakur, 2007). Further, the addition of organic matter also maintains regular supply of macro and micronutrients in soil resulting in higher yields. These results are in conformity with the finding of (Gupta et al., 2006; Fageria et al., 2011). Higher yield due to zinc fertilization is attributed to its involvement in many metallic enzyme system, regulatory functions and auxin production (Sachdev et al., 1988), enhanced synthesis of carbohydrates and their transport to the site of grain production (Pedda Babu et al., 2007). Datta and Dhiman (2001), reported that the increase in grain and straw yield may be due to the fact that the zinc exerts a great influence on basic plant life processes such as nitrogen metabolism, uptake of N, and protein quality. This beneficial effect of organic matters may be attributed to the formation of organometallic complexes with Zn, which resulted in the increase of its efficiency. Abdoli et al. (2014) was of opinion that chlorophyll and IAA production causes prolonged period of photosynthesis which improves the production of carbohydrate and there transportation to seeds. The increase in yield of rice may be due to the beneficial effect of Zn and various organic manures on yield attribute like plant height, number of tillers, panicle length and number of grains per panicle which ultimately resulted in higher grain production (Yadav et al., 2015). The increase in grain yield because of the application of zinc along with FYM well corroborates with the enhanced zinc availability in the soil. The slow release of Zn by enriched manures would have helped in better uptake of zinc, which reflected in the increased grain yield.





The common dose of NPK @ 150:50:50 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied for all the plots. ZnSO<sub>4</sub> : zinc sulphate ; ZnO : zinc oxide ; FYM : farm yard manure ; GLM : green leaf manure ; TNAU MN mixture : Tamil Nadu Agricultural University micro nutrient mixture ; EFYM : enriched farm yard manure.

## **Zn uptake**

The Zn uptake in grain and straw for both the seasons are given in Table 4. The result showed that application of recommended dose of NPK with  $25 \text{ kg ZnSO}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ + FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher Zn uptake both in grain and straw (152 and 225 g ha-1 in *kharif* and 185 and 256 g ha-1 in *rabi*) in both the seasons. This was followed by application of recommended dose of NPK with 25 kg  $ZnSO_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup> + GLM 6.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in both the sev seasons. It may be due to application of inorganic with organic fertilizers which enhanced the activity of beneficial microbes and colonization of mycorrhizal fungi, which play an important role in mobilization of micronutrients, there by leading to better uptake by plants. The increased micronutrient uptake under integrated nutrient management practices was due to the production of organic acids during decomposition of organic matter, which are capable of releasing the nutrients associated with clay minerals and better availability from both organic and inorganic sources. Moreover, the beneficial effect of inorganic with organics on micronutrient uptake might be attributed to their increased humic substances, faster release of nutrients during mineralization, thereby resulting in higher uptake by rice owing to higher grain yield. The

 $\Box$  298  $\Box$ 

increase in Zn uptake in grain and straw at harvest might be due to the presence of increased amount of Zn in soil solution by the application of Zn that might have facilitated the absorption of Zn through phloem. The higher concentration of Zn in straw as compared to grain was reported by (Naik and Das, 2007). The increase concentration of Zn due to foliar application might be due to Zn absorption by leaf epidermis and remobilization in to the rice grain through phloem and several membranes of Zn regulated transporters which might have regulated this process (Bashier et al., 2012). The increased supply of Zn favoured increased accumulation in the plant. Furthermore, the organic manures would have favourably influenced the rhizosphere environment for better Zn uptake (Sridevi et al., 2010).

## **Zn content in soil**

The available Zn content in soil is given in Table 5. The data showed that application of recommended dose of NPK with  $25 \text{ kg ZnSO}_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher Zn content in soil (1.24 ppm) followed by application of recommended dose of NPK with 25 kg  $ZnSO_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup> + GLM 6.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (1.23ppm). The lowest available Zn content in soil was recorded in control (0.91 ppm). The results are in agreement with the findings of

S.no.	Treatments		Kharif		Rabi	
		Grain $(g ha-1)$	Straw $(g ha^{-1})$	Grain $(g ha^{-1})$	Straw $(g ha-1)$	
$T_{1}$	Control	104	162	105	146	
$T_{2}$	100 g $ZnSO$ <sub>/</sub> /cent in nursery alone	110	186	145	223	
$T_{3}$	Root dipping alone in 2% ZnO solution	119	192	149	212	
$T_{4}$	25 kg $ZnSOa$ ha <sup>-1</sup>	136	219	165	210	
$T_{5}$	37.5 kg $ZnSO4$ ha <sup>-1</sup>	140	225	170	225	
$T_{6}$	25 kg $ZnSO4$ ha <sup>-1</sup> + FYM 12.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	152	225	185	256	
$T_{7}$	25 kg $ZnSO4$ ha <sup>-1</sup> + GLM 6.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	150	212	180	240	
$T_{\rm s}$	TNAU MN mixture 25 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	149	206	159	212	
$T_{\rm g}$	TNAU MN mixture 37.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	140	198	146	205	
$T_{10}$	Foliar spray of $0.5\%$ ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 1 % urea at tillering and panicle initiation stage	116	185	152	194	
$\rm T_{11}$	$T_2 + T_{10}$	120	189	146	196	
$T_{12}$	$T_3 + T_{10}$	118	180	152	212	
$T_{13}$	$T_2 + T_3 + T_{10}$	115	185	159	212	
	SEm <sub>±</sub>	5.36	8.45	6.2	8.53	
	CD @ 5 %	10.3	16.8	13.6	18.5	

**Table 4.** Effect of treatments on Zn uptake of grain and straw.

The common dose of NPK @ 150:50:50 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied for all the plots.  $ZnSO_4$  : zinc sulphate ;  $ZnO$  : zinc oxide ;  $FYM$ : farm yard manure ; GLM : green leaf manure ; TNAU MN mixture : Tamil Nadu Agricultural University micro nutrient mixture ; EFYM : enriched farm yard manure.

(Khan et al., 2002). This can be attributed to increased available Zn in soil solution. It could be observed here that soil Zn concentration increased with the application of Zn fertilizer and the concentration were also higher after harvesting of rice crop when compared to soil which is not fertilized with Zn. Similar results were also obtained by (Shaheen et al., 2007). Such build up of cationic micronutrients in soil might be partly owing to release of native soil micronutrients resulting from the dissolution action of organic manures and also partly due to release from applied organic manures. The results of the present study are similar to that of (Singh et al., 1999). The maximum availability of Zn in soils treated with inorganics coupled with organics might be due to their release through mineralization of manures and also due to production of chelating agents which have the ability to reduce their adsorption, fixation and precipitation resulting in their enhanced availability in soil (Kher, 1993). The INM treatments with organic manures either increased or retained the critical fertility status of micronutrients. Organic manures on decomposition produce a variety of biochemical substances (Organic acids, polyphenols, amino acids

and poly saccharides) which stimulate the solubility, transport and availability of micronutrients.

#### **Post harvest soil characteristics**

### **pH and EC**

The chemical properties of soil at post harvest stage are given in Table 6. The result showed the soil pH at harvest was not influenced by the imposed treatments. However, the pH values were slightly less in the plots which received the recommended dose of NPK with 25 kg  $ZnSO_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> as compared to other treatments. This could be due to the production of organic acids during the decomposition of organic residues. Kharche et al. (2013) attributed that buffering effect caused due to organic matter helped in maintaining the pH of Vertisols under sorghum-wheat cropping system. The total soluble salt content remained unaltered by the treatments. This might be due to the doses of fertilizers added in different treatments were quite small and salts added through fertilizers might have been leached down due to good number of irrigations and rains received by crop. Badole et al. (2011) reported

Table 5. Effect of treatments on Zn content in soil.

S. no.	Treatments	Zn content (ppm)
$T_{1}$	Control	0.91
$T_{2}$	100 g $ZnSO_4$ /cent in nursery alone	1.02
$T_{3}$	Root dipping alone in 2% ZnO solution	1.09
	25 kg $ZnSO4$ ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.10
$T_4$ $T_5$	37.5 kg $ZnSO4$ ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.11
$T_{\rm_{6}}$	25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha-1 + FYM 12.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.24
$T_{7}$	25 kg $ZnSO_4$ ha-1+ GLM 6.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.23
$T_{\rm g}$	TNAU MN mixture 25 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.17
$T_{\rm g}$	TNAU MN mixture 37.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.18
$\mathbf{T}_{_{10}}$	Foliar spray of $0.5\%$ ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 1 %	1.15
	urea at tillering and panicle initiation	
	stage	
$T_{11}$	$T_{2}+T_{10}$	1.14
$T_{12}$	$T_{3}+T_{10}$	1.14
$\mathbf{T}_{_{13}}$	$T_2 + T_3 + T_{10}$	1.11
	SEm <sub>±</sub>	0.12
	CD @ 5 %	0.24

The common dose of NPK  $@150:50:5050$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied for all the plots.  $ZnSO_4$ : zinc sulphate ;  $ZnO$ : zinc oxide ; FYM : farm yard manure ; GLM : green leaf manure ; TNAU MN mixture : Tamil Nadu Agricultural University micro nutrient mixture ; EFYM : enriched farm yard manure.

that the EC of soil were slightly decreased with the increase in application of partially or fully decomposed FYM  $@$  5 to 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> along with recommended dose of fertilizers.

## **Organic carbon**

The organic carbon content of soil was not influenced by the imposed treatments (Table 6) when compared to initial content (0.19%). Among the treatments higher organic carbon content was recorded in treatment which received organic matter either through FYM or GLM or TNAU mineral mixture with zinc sulphate. Highest organic carbon content was observed in T6,  $T_7$ ,  $T_9$  and  $T_{12}$  (0.23%) which received both inorganic and organic fertilizers and TNAU mineral mixture. Addition of organic nutrient source might have created environment conducive for formation of humic acid, stimulated the activity of soil microorganisms resulting in an increase in the organic carbon content of the soil (Bajpai et al., 2006).

The significant increase in organic content in all the treatments with integrated use of nutrient sources as compared to use of inorganic fertilizer alone might be due to the enhanced root growth, which leads to the accumulation of organic residues and also direct incorporation of organic matter through FYM and GLM in the soil. The increase in organic carbon content of the FYM or GLM treated plots might be due to direct incorporation of the organic matter in to the soil and also the subsequent decomposition of these materials might have resulted in the organic carbon content of the soil (Baskar, 2003; Singh and Pathak, 2003; Singh et al., 2008).

## **Available nitrogen**

The available nitrogen content at post harvest stage in soil (Table 6) showed that there was an increase in available nitrogen content of soil at harvest of crop over the initial soil status  $(229 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1})$ . Application of recommended dose of NPK with  $25 \text{ kg ZnSO}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$  + FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher N content (245 kg ha-<sup>1</sup>) followed by application of 25 kg  $ZnSO_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup> + GLM  $6.5$  t ha<sup>-1</sup> (244 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). It may be due to the fact that these INM treatments created favourable soil conditions which helped in the mineralization of the soil nitrogen and also enhanced the multiplication of microbes which catalyze the conversion of organically bound nitrogen to inorganic form leading to build up of higher available nitrogen in soil. Further, it was observed that there was no significant difference in available nitrogen content of soils among the treatments which received FYM and GLM with zinc sulphate and recommended dose of fertilizers. Incorporation of GLM to the soil complex, helps in breakdown of nitrogenous compounds slowly and make steady nitrogen supply throughout the growth period of the crop due to high C:N ratio as compared to FYM.

The increase in available nitrogen with INM could be due to the direct supply of nitrogen as well as enhanced microbial activity which improves the nitrogen transformations like ammonification and nitrification. These results are in agreement with the findings of Satyanaryanarao and Janawade (2009), Prasad et al. (2010b) and Katkar et al. (2002).

Lower amounts of available nitrogen in control plot which received RDF might be due to higher uptake of nutrients as well as considerable losses of inorganic nitrogen from soils. These results are in agreement with that of Siddaram et al. (2011).

$S$ . no.	Treatments	pН	EC (dS/m)	$N$ (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	$P$ (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	$OC(\%)$ $K$ (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
$T_{1}$	Control	7.9	0.12	229	52	258	0.19
$T_{2}$	$100 \text{ g ZnSO}_{1}/\text{cent}$ in nursery alone	8.2	0.15	232	53	259	0.21
$T_{3}$	Root dipping alone in 2% ZnO solution	8.3	0.13	226	54	223	0.21
$T_{4}$	25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	8.4	0.16	224	52	236	0.19
$T_{5}$	37.5 kg $ZnSO4$ ha <sup>-1</sup>	8.1	0.15	225	51	242	0.21
$T_{6}$	25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> + FYM 12.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	8.0	0.14	245	56	265	0.23
$T_{7}$	25 kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> + GLM 6.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.9	0.13	244	55	264	0.23
$T_{\rm 8}$	TNAU MN mixture 25 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	8.2	0.12	227	55	249	0.21
$T_{9}$	TNAU MN mixture 37.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	8.3	0.14	225	53	252	0.23
$T_{10}$	Foliar spray of $0.5\%$ ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 1 % urea	8.1	0.13	226	54	224	0.21
	at tillering and panicle initiation stage						
$T_{11}$	$T_2 + T_{10}$	8.0	0.14	224	56	246	0.21
$T_{12}$	$T_3 + T_{10}$	7.9	0.15	225	57	235	0.23
$T_{13}$	$T_2 + T_3 + T_{10}$	8.1	0.13	221	51	252	0.20
	SEm <sub>±</sub>	0.06	0.02	8	4	15	0.01
	CD @ 5 %	0.12	NS	17	9	27	0.13

**Table 6.** Effect of treatments on soil available nutrients.

The common dose of NPK @ 150:50:50 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied for all the plots.  $ZnSO_4$  : zinc sulphate ;  $ZnO$  : zinc oxide ;  $FYM$ : farm yard manure ; GLM : green leaf manure ; TNAU MN mixture : Tamil Nadu Agricultural University micro nutrient mixture ; EFYM : enriched farm yard manure.

### **Available phosphorus**

The data presented in table 6 revealed that there was an increase in available phosphorus content at harvest of crop over the control  $(52 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$  by the treatments. Significantly higher amounts of available phosphorus content was recorded with the integrated application of Zinc sulphate with FYM, GLM over the control (RDF). The enhanced availability of soil P after harvest of rice might be due to production of organic acids during microbial decomposition of the incorporated organic manures in soil and decrease in soil pH. The increased availability of phosphorus with organics could be ascribed to their solubilizing effect on the native soil phosphorus and consequent contribution of the phosphorus as solubilized to labile pool. Incorporation of organics along with inorganic phosphorus increased the availability of phosphorus to crop and mineralization of inorganic phosphorus due to microbial action and enhanced mobility of phosphorus (Prasad et al., 2010b). Organic matter (humus) may also reduce the fixation of phosphate by providing protective cover on sesquioxides and thus reduce the phosphate fixing capacity and increase the available phosphorus in soil (Bharadwaj and Omanwar, 1994).

#### **Available potassium**

The status of available potassium at harvest of crop was significantly influenced by the imposed treatments (Table 6). Improvement in soil potassium status when compared to initial status of soil was observed. Application of recommended dose of NPK with 25 kg  $ZnSO_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher K content (265 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by application of 25 kg  $ZnSO_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup> + GLM 6.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (264 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The beneficial effect of INM on available potassium could be ascribed to the reduction of potassium fixation, solubilisation and release due to the interaction of organic matter with clay (Gopi, 2014). Similarly Prasad et al. (2010b) reported increase in available potassium due to incorporation of crop residues.

#### **Correlation studies**

#### **Addition of Zn and yield**

The correlation between yield of rice and Zn added through fertilizer is given in Fig. 1. There is a positive correlation occurs between yield and Zn added in both the seasons. There is a positive correlation occurred between rice yield and Zn added thorough fertilizers both in *kharif* ( $R^2 = 0.424$ ) and *rabi* ( $R^2 = 0.750$ ) seasons. The increase in grain yield with increased





levels of zinc application could be possibly due to better supply of Zn, which plays a specific role in various metabolic activities and might also be attributed to enhanced synthesis of carbohydrates with higher levels of zinc application and their transport to the site of grain formation. Similar findings were reported by Zayed et al. (2011). Further, Stalin et al. (2011) also observed that the supply of micronutrient Zn resulted in better absorption of nutrients, thereby helping in photosynthetic activity and effective translocation to storage organs, thus, contributed to the increased yield. This was evidenced by significant relation between available zinc and grain yield of rice. The results are in complete agreement with the findings of Ravikiran and Reddy (2004).

### **CONCLUSION**

Fertilizers cost is increasing day by day, and the cost benefit ratio of rice crop is declining due to higher input cost. Use of locally available plant nutrient sources, enhanced the crop yield at cheaper rate and improve soil health. Conjunctive application of organics along with inorganics sets a congenial soil environment with consistent supply of nutrients over the crop period by enhancing the crop growth which results in high yields. Application of recommended dose of NPK with 25 kg  $ZnSO_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher yield. It may be a viable option for sustainable rice crop yield in rice belt of Tamil Nadu, India.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Abdoli ME sfandiari E Mousavi SB and Sadeghzadeh B (2014). Effects of foliar application of zinc sulfate at different phonological stages on yield formation and grain zinc content of bread wheat (cv. Kohdasht). Azarian Journal ofAgriculture 1(1):11- 16
- Ansari N, Ishihara R, Nakajima Y, Kimura M and Asakawa S (2008). Cyanobacterial communities of rice straw left on the soil surface of a paddy field. Biology and Fertility of the Soils 44: 605-612
- Anand R (2007). Evaluation of *rabi* sorghum genotypes for seed zinc content and zinc use efficiency. M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Crop Physiology. University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad
- Arunachalam P, Kannan P, Prabukumar G and Mahalingam G (2013). Zinc deficiency in Indian soils with special focus to enrich zinc in peanut. African Journal of Agricultural Research 8: 6681-6688
- Asad M, Saadatmand S, Khaveri-Nejad R, Ghasem-neijad M and Fotokin MH (2012). Effect of zinc (Zn) on some physiological characteristicts of rice seedling. Biomed Pharmacology Journal 5(2): 203-210
- Babu S, Marimuthu R, Manivanna V and Ramesh Kumer S (2001). Effect of organic and inorganic manures on growth and yield of rice. Agriculture Science Digest 21(4): 232-234
- Banks LW (2004). Effect of liming of foliar zinc fertilizers on yield component of soybeans.Journals of Animal Husbandry 22(116): 226-231
- Bashir K, Ishimaru Y and Nishizawa NK (2012). Molecular mechanism of zinc uptake and translocation in rice. Plant and Soil 361: 189-201
- Badole WP, Rodge A and Masane R (2011). Effect of addition of fully and partially decomposed FYM on fertility status of vertisol and fodder yield of sorghum. Journal of Soils and Crops 21(2): 290-297
- Bajpai RK, Chitale S, Upadhyay SK and Urkurkar JS (2006). Long- term studies on soil physic-chemical properties and productivity of rice-wheat system as influenced by integrated nutrient management in *Inceptisol* of Chattisgarh. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science 54: 24- 29
- Baskar K (2003). Effect of integrated use of inorganic fertilisers and FYM or greenleaf manure on uptake and nutrient use efficiency of rice-rice system on an Inceptisol. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil

Science 51: 47-51

- Bharadwaj V and Omanwar PK (1994). Long term effect of continuous rotational cropping and fertilisation on crop yields and soil properties-II. Effects on EC, pH, organic matter and available nutrients of soil. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 42(3): 387-392
- Chaudhary SK and Thakur RB (2007). Efficient farm yard management for sustained productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa*) - wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 77(7) : 443-444
- Datta M and Dhiman KR (2001).Yield and response of rice (*Oryza sativa*) as influenced by methods and sources of micronutrients application. The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 71(3): 190-192
- Fageria NK, Dos Santos AB and Cobucci T (2011). Zinc nutrition of lowland rice. Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 42: 1719-1727
- Firdous S, Agarwal BK, Shahi DK and Shashi Bushan (2018). The effect of zinc fertilization on rice productivity and economics in acid alfisol of Jharkhand, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences ISSN: 2319-7706 Special Issue 7: 2676-2682
- Gupta V, Sharma RS and Vishvakarma SK (2006). Longterm effect of integrated nutrient management on yield sustainability and soil fertility of rice (*Oryza sativa*), Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy 51(3): 160-164
- Hafeez B, Khanif YM and Saleem M (2013). Role of zinc in plant nutrition - A review. American Journal of Experimental Agriculture 3(2): 374-391
- Hung S, Beltaran R, Muniz C and Esterada J (1990). Response of rice to Zn rates on a clay soils gramma province. Agroquimica13: 27-34
- Humphres EC (1956). Modern Methods of Plant Analysis. Springer-Verlag. Berlin L pp. 468-502
- Jackson MS (1973). Soil Chemicla Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt Ltd. New Delhi pp. 498
- Kharche VK, Patil SR, Kulkarni AA, Patil VS and Katkar RN (2013). Long-term integrated nutrient management for enhancing soil quality and crop productivity under intensive cropping system on vertisols. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 61(4): 323-332
- Katkar RN, Turkhede AB, Wankhede ST and Patil MR (2002).

**Oryza Vol. 56 No. 3, 2019 (294-304)**<br>Effect of integrated management of o r g a n i c<br>manures and fertilizers on soil proprieties of cotton. manures and fertilizers on soil proprieties of cotton. Journal of Cotton Research and Development 16(1): 89-92

- Kher D (1993). Effect of continuous liming, manuring and cropping on DTPA extractable micronutrients in an Alfisol. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 41: 366-367
- Khan MU (2002). Yield and quality of rice (Oryza sativa) as affected by different levels and methods of zinc application. Ph.D. Thesis. Gomal University. Pakistan
- Meng FHD, Yang XE, Shoha MJI, Yang JC, Li TQ, Lu L and Feng Y (2014). Zinc uptake kinetics in the low and high-affinity systems of two contrasting rice genotypes. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science 177(3): 412-420
- Mohanty M, Nanda SS and Barik AK (2013). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of wet season rice (*Oryza sativa*) in Odisha. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 83(6): 599-604
- Naik SK and Das DP (2007). Effect of split application of zinc on yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in an *inceptisol*. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 53(3): 305- 313.
- Page AL, Miller RH and keeney DR (1982). Methods of soil Analysis. Part 2. American Society of Agronomy Inc.and Soil Science Society of America Inc.Madison WI. USA
- Prakash YS and Bhadoria PBS (2003). Relative influence of organic manures in combination with chemical fertilizers in improving rice productivity of lateritic soil. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 23(1): 77-87
- Prasad J, Kurmakar S, Kumar R and Mishra B (2010). Influence of integrated nutrient management on yield and soil properties in maize-wheat cropping system in an alfisol of Jharkhand. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 58(2): 200-204
- Peda Babu P, Shanti M, Rajendra Prasad B and Minhas PS (2007). Effect of zinc on rice in rice - black gram cropping system in saline soils. Andhra Agric. J. 54 (1&2): 47-50
- Prasad RK, Kumar V, Prasad B and Singh AP (2010). Longterm effect of crop residues and zinc fertilizer on crop yield, nutrient uptake and fertility buildup under rice wheat cropping system in Calciorthents. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science 58(2): 205-

## **Oryza Vol. 56 No. 3, 2019 (294-304)**

- Rajni Rani, Srivastava OP and Rani R (2001). Effect of integration of organics with fertilizer N on rice and N uptake. Fertilizer News 46(9): 63-65
- Ravikiran S and Reddy GLN (2004). Effect of  $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{_4}$  foliar spray on yield of rice cultivars. The Andhra Agricultural Journal 51(3&4): 438-440
- Sachdev P, Dep DL and Rastogi DK (1988). Effect of varying levels of zinc andmanganese on dry matter yield and mineral composition of wheat plant at maturity. J. Nuclear Agric. Biol. 17: 137-143
- Shaheen R, Samim MK and Mahmud R (2007). Effect of zinc on yield and zinc uptake by wheat on some soils of Bangladesh. Journal of Soil and Nature 1(1): 0714
- Singh NP, Sachan RS, Pandey PC and Bisht PS (1999). Effect of decade long fertilizer and manureapplication on soil fertility and productivity of rice-wheat system in a mollisol. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 47(1): 72-80
- Satyanarayanarao and Janawade AD (2009). Influence of integrated nutrient management practices on physico-chemical properties of cotton growing soils. Journal of Cotton Research and Development 23(1): 60-63
- Singh F, Ravindra K and Samir P (2008). Integrated nutrient management in rice- wheat cropping system for sustainable productivity. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 56(2): 205-208
- Singh RN and Pathak RK (2003). Response of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) to Integrated nutrition of K, Mg, S and biofertilisation. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 51: 56-60
- Siddaram Murali K, Manjunatha BN, Jagadeesha N, Basavaraja MK and Ramulu (2011). Effect of nitrogen levels through organic sources on dry matter production and nutrient uptake of irrigated aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Mysore journal of Agricultural Sciences 45(1): 191-193
- Sridevi G, Babu VS, Gayathri B and Anil Kumar S (2010). Effect of Zinc enriched organic manures on nutrient uptake and yield of rice in zinc deficient typic ustopepts. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research 44(2) : 150-153
- Tuyogon D, Somayanda IM, Larazo W and Johnson-Beebout SE (2016). Enriching rice grain zinc through zinc fertilization and water management. Soil Science Society of American Journal 80: 121-134
- Shanker U, Singh R, Srivastava SK and Bohra JS (2017). Effect of Zn, Fe and FYM on interaction between Zn and Fe on nutrient content, uptake and yield of different varieties of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci . 6(2): 874-890
- Wakley A and Black IA (1943). An examination of the Degtejareff methos for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science 37: 29
- Yadav BE, Kumar R, Khamparia and Kumar A (2015). Effect of zinc and organic matter on nutritional composition of seeded rice. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology 8(3): 659-667
- Yang CM, Yang L, Yang Y and Ouyang Z (2004). Rice root growth and nutrient uptake as influenced by organic manure in continuously and alternately flooded paddy soils. Agricultural Water Management 70(1): 67-81

211